



MaaS Investment Fund

Consultation Workshops – Summary of Stakeholder Input

Grand Central Hotel, Glasgow – 19th March 2019

Salutation Hotel, Perth – 26th March 2019

MAAS INVESTMENT FUND - GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

POTENTIAL BARRIERS:

- Infrastructure
 - Limited digital connectivity in certain areas
 - Lack of accessible vehicles will limit impact for disabled passengers
- Regulation/legislation
 - Standardisation of data key to interoperability
 - Regulation could impede innovation – different for different modes
 - How is liability attributed in multi-modal framework?
- Investment
 - Limited evidence of sustainable business cases
 - Medium to long term public financing will be required for proof of concept phase
 - Operators unwilling to invest in new services
 - Service contracts are long term and inflexible
 - Subsidised services prohibit flexibility
 - Complicated service level agreements between transport providers
- Data
 - Access to open data is essential for MaaS delivery
 - Delivering maximum value will require integration of data silos
 - Data will need to be maintained and updated to be robust
 - Personal data will need to be portable
- Public perception
 - MaaS must address user needs – co-design will be crucial
 - Changing travel behaviours will be a challenge
 - Limited public acceptance of shared vehicles
 - Potential loss of human interaction could be seen unfavourably
 - Danger of 'technology gap' marginalising certain groups
 - Lack of understanding of true cost of car ownership vs alternative modes

POTENTIAL IMPACTS:

- Passenger experience
 - Personalisation of service
 - Connected, multi-modal services
 - Increasing choice
 - Cheaper transport
 - Improved information/intelligence on mobility options
 - Integrated ticketing – Smart Card or Mobile
 - Door to door services
 - Relieve pressure on parking provision
- Authorities and providers
 - Increased patronage on public transport
 - Ability to improve link between demand and supply
 - Better understanding of travel behaviours
 - Improved efficiency/sustainability of existing assets
- Societal
 - Shift in travel behaviour via easier access to multiple modes
 - Modal shift via incentivisation
 - Reduction in car ownership – fewer cars on the road
 - Lower emissions through reduction of SOVs
 - Improved public health through greater uptake of active travel
 - Improved access to transport services for those living with disability
 - Improved access to transport services for those living with limited means

RURAL, ISLAND, AND COMMUNITIES

<p>OPPORTUNITIES:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increase choice through alternative transport options• Use technology to support connected, multi-modal services• Improve awareness of existing services• Provide real time information to support travel choices• Introduce flexible routing and more efficient demand responsive transport• Create transport hubs at key interchanges• Reduce transport costs through car sharing and car pooling• Support car free islands/regions through provision of shared vehicles – relive pressure on ferry services• Improve sustainability of services through better utilisation of vehicle capacity• Efficiency gains through repurposing of vehicles during down times• Merge freight and passenger requirements – medical, goods, etc.• Integrate transport and healthcare services• Link locally operated EV fleets to renewable energy infrastructure	<p>POTENTIAL IMPACT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Redress youth/skills migration through improved links to jobs, education and health• Alleviate loneliness through easier/cheaper access to services• Encourage community building through volunteer/community transport• Create ‘green’ regions or islands through reduction of car use
<p>STAKEHOLDERS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Transport operators• Local authorities• Regional Transport Authorities• Local businesses and employers• Healthcare providers• Education providers• Transport Scotland• Community transport groups• Tourist sites	<p>BARRIERS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Digital Connectivity• Sustainability as a result of low density / low demand• Population dispersion and journey distances• MaaS provision to date focussed on urban areas – solutions will not be transferable to rural areas• Legislation can be barrier to community transport services• Understanding travel patterns and needs• Multiple authorities can have responsibility for rural regions

ACCESSIBILITY, INCLUSIVITY, AND MOBILITY

<p>OPPORTUNITIES:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Provide passengers with personalised information on accessible facilities/vehicles• Provide passengers with real time information to support journey choices• Give providers information on passengers requiring additional support• Provide pricing based on various criteria – age, ability, ability to pay• Provide information on hidden disabilities to service providers• Match accessible vehicle demand to supply• Prioritise those on lower incomes through means tested pricing• Information to support training for service provider staff• Minimise personal data exchange e.g. reduce need to supply support needs multiple times to multiple providers• Integrate transport and healthcare services	<p>POTENTIAL IMPACT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improve transport access to those with lower income• Increase choices for those who cannot afford a car• Link employee wellbeing with mobility through active travel• Improve link between customer and supplier to improve service• Provide certainty and confidence for passengers/families• Improve access to employment/education for those on lower income
<p>STAKEHOLDERS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Local Authorities• End users• NHS• Regional Transport Partners• Accessibility groups• Transport Operators• Scottish Government• Transport Scotland• Insurers• Regulatory bodies	<p>BARRIERS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Accessibility to existing infrastructure – cost implications of upgrading existing vehicles, stations etc.• Ensure accessible back-up service when primary service goes wrong• Digital platforms (apps etc) must be designed to be accessible to all.• Expectation management – what can be achieved? What works for some will not work for others.• Creation of ‘technology gap’, either through ability or cost

TOURISM

<p>OPPORTUNITIES:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• MaaS Tourist card – linking travel modes and tourist assets• Provide contextualised information on surrounding sites – restaurants, hotels, sights, retail.• Link transport and accommodation providers• Allow businesses to provide value added services to transport provision• Provision of services focussed on disabled tourists• Provide personal plan for visitors• Multi-lingual services• Bike hire linked to ports• Local input to provide better information/services• Multi-day, multi-modal tickets• Link to overseas services e.g. WeChat• MaaS can act as brand ambassador for region/country	<p>POTENTIAL IMPACT:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Reducing congestion at tourist hotspots• Increasing and dispersing per head tourist spend• Improved information for tourists to widen opportunity• Reduce pressure on existing infrastructure e.g. car decks on ferries, busy rural routes (Skye, NC500), festivals.• Attract repeat visitors• Link local transport provision to air/train travel• Measure visitor feedback• Improve tourist confidence in public transport• Nudge visitors towards more sustainable transport options• Reducing car dependent tourism
<p>STAKEHOLDERS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Transport operators• Local authorities• Regional Transport Partnerships• Airports• Visit Scotland• Tourist asset operators and businesses• Ticketing groups and event organisers• City marketing bureaus• Accommodation providers• Transport Scotland	<p>BARRIERS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Digital connectivity• Seasonality of demand• Some tourist sites are far 'off route, - public transport impossible• Luggage makes public transport options harder• Fragmented services• Building relevant consortia• Ensuring all data is up to date – who has responsibility?